

REPUBLIC OF NAMIBIA
OHANGWENA REGIONAL COUNCIL
PROCUREMENT MANAGEMENT UNIT

Section DEAC
Tel: 065 290283
Fax: 065 290224
Enquiries: Ms Emma N Nakulwa
Email: emmandahambelelela@gmail.com

Harelbecke Street, Greenwell Complex Building
Private bag 88005
Eenhana

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE BID REPORT

Project Title: Supply and delivery of cleaning materials and chemicals to Government schools' hostels (Procurement Reference No: G/RFQ/OHRC10-26/2021/2022)

1. **Scope of Contract:** Goods
2. **Procurement method used:** Request for Sealed Quotation
3. **Date of Invitation of Bids:** 08 December 2021
4. **Closing date for submission of bids:** 17 December 2021 at 10h00
5. **Date and place of opening of bids:** 17 December 2021 at DEAC
6. **Number of bids received by closing date:**
Lot A: 19
Lot B: 20
Lot C: 13
Lot D: 18
Lot E: 15
7. **Responsiveness of bids;**

LOT A

No.	Bidder's name	Bid Amount (N\$)	Responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
1.	Cubiccon Investment cc	90 289.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
2.	Kubanga Africa Trading cc	91 811.40	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
3.	Travelo Investment cc	93 630.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
4.	Star Comets Trading cc	95 550.25	No	Quotation validity period and works completion period provided differs with the requested period.
5.	Almodine Investment cc	99 270.00	Yes	Responsive
6.	Pan Trading cc	102 150.00	No	The SME and Affirmative Certificate not attached.
7.	Munene Capital Investment cc	122 795.46	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
8.	White Line General Trading cc	126 451.29	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.

9.	Indaba Investment cc	127 126 40	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
10.	CITY Proper Investment cc	129 142.40	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
11.	Fifty Fifty Investment	131 071.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
12.	R Maisho Trading cc	137 763.17	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
13.	JSP Trade Investment cc	140 101.87	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
14.	SO-HA Investment cc	146 111.50	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
15.	Prince Tanga Trading cc	146 163.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
16.	Shikulumbwati Investment cc	154 244.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
17.	TS Kayone Trading Enterprise	175 080.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
18.	Okathithi Trading cc	217 925.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
19.	Marco and Jason Investment cc	669 426.60	No	SME Certificate not attached.
The best evaluated bid for Lot A is Almodine Investment cc.				

LOT B

No.	Bidder's name	Bid Amount (NS)	Responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
1.	Star Comets Trading cc	116 203.83	No	Quotation validity period and works completion period provided differs with the requested period.
2.	Travelo Investment cc	116 230.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
3.	Pan Trading cc	117 740.00	No	The SME and Affirmative Certificate not attached.
4.	Almodine Investment cc	121 427.90	No	Already awarded the Contract for Lot A.
5.	Wapeka Cleaning Materials cc	135 573.50	Yes	Responsive
6.	Mwayola's Vision Investment cc	154 730.20	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
7.	Munene Capital Investment cc	155 350.93	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
8.	CITY Proper Investment cc	159 568.90	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
9.	Indaba Investment	170 993,81	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
10.	R.Maisho Trading cc	179 358.85	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
11.	SO-HA Investment cc	187 868.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
12.	Prince- Tanga Trading cc	188 243.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.

13.	JSP Trading Investment cc	192 554.03	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
14.	Shikulumbwati Investment cc	193 970.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
15.	Neptune Healthcare	198 564.75	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
16.	White Line General Trading cc	234 602.37	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
17.	TS Kayone Trading Enterprise	238 610.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
18.	Vasiku Trading cc	248 612.46	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
19.	Henishia Iyambo Trading Enterprise cc	262 257.50	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
20.	Okathithi Trading cc	295 308.50	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
The best evaluated bid for Lot B is Wapeka Cleaning Materials cc.				

LOT C

No.	Bidder's name	Bid Amount (N\$)	Responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
1.	Almodine Investment	49 405.06	No	Already awarded the Contract for Lot A.
2.	Pan Trading cc	49 220.00	Yes	The SME and Affirmative Certificate not attached.
3.	Star Comets Trading cc	47 484.91	No	Quotation validity period and works completion period provided differs with the requested period.
4.	Henishia Iyambo Trading Enterprise cc	52 850.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
5.	Munene Capital Investment cc	59 180.86	Yes	Responsive
6.	R Maisho Trading cc	66 700.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
7.	SO-HA Investment cc	66 924.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
8.	Prince Tanga Trading cc	67 168.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
9.	CITY Proper Investment cc	70 910.30	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
10.	Vasiku Trading cc	99 226.60	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
11.	TS Kayone Trading Enterprise	116 390.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
	Okathithi Trading cc	117 932.50	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
	Shikulumbwati Investment cc	154 244.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
The best evaluated bid for Lot C is Munene Investment cc.				


LOT D

No.	Bidder's name	Bid Amount (N\$)	Responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
1.	Pan Trading cc	96 120.00	No	The SME and Affirmative Certificate not attached.
2.	Star Comets Trading cc	102 559.78	No	Quotation validity period and works completion period provided differs with the requested period.
3.	Almodine Investment cc	106 820.10	No	Already awarded the Contract for Lot A.
4.	Kubanga Africa Trading cc	110 142.40	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
5.	Munene Capital Investment cc	123 733.65	No	Already awarded the Contract for Lot C.
6.	CITY Proper Investment cc	127 682.40	Yes	Responsive
7.	Indaba Investment cc	128 217.24	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
8.	Fifty Fifty Investment cc	133 498.09	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
9.	Marco and Json Investment cc	136 054.20	No	SME Certificate not attached.
10.	Wapeka Cleaning Materials cc	136 091.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
11.	Henishia Iyambo Trading Enterprise cc	145 590.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
12.	Prince-Tanga Trading cc	147 801.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
13.	SO-HA Investment cc	148 201.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
14.	R Maisho Trading cc	153 460.20	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
15.	Shikulumbwati Investment cc	178 250.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
16.	Vasiku Trading cc	187 004.26	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
17.	TS Kayone Trading Enterprise	216 950.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
18.	Okathithi Trading cc	238 510.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
The best evaluated bid for Lot D is CITY Proper Investment cc.				

LOT E

No.	Bidder's name	Bid Amount (N\$)	Responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive
1.	E-Tale Solution	83 801.52	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
2.	Pan Trading cc	90 310.00	No	The SME and Affirmative Certificate not attached.
3.	Star Comets Trading ccc	93 036.85	No	Quotation validity period and works completion period provided differs with the requested period.
4.	Almodine Investment cc	97 157.23	Yes	Already awarded the Contract for Lot A.
5.	Wapeka Cleaning Materials cc	107 939.00	No	Already awarded the Contract for Lot B.
6.	Munene capital Investment cc	120 203.85	No	Already awarded the Contract for Lot C.
7.	Indaba Investment cc	123 549.92	Yes	Responsive

8.	White Line General Trading cc	149 333.71	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
9.	CITY Investment cc	127 959.20	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
10.	R.Maisho Trading cc	135 820.75	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
11.	Shikulumbwati Investment cc	138 965.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
12.	Prince-Tanga Trading cc	152 975.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested
13.	SO-HA Investment cc	153 155.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested
14.	TS kayone Trading Enterprise cc	200 500.00	No	The bid is higher than the lowest evaluated responsive bidder.
15.	Paulie Trading cc	205 920.00	No	Nature of the business in the Good Standing Certificate with Social Security Commission is not in line with the procurement requested.
The best evaluated bid for Lot E is Indaba Investment cc.				


PHILLIPUS H SHILONGO
ACCOUNTING OFFICER

